SECRET

	ТО
(b)(3):10 USC 424	TO RUEKDIA/DIA WASHINGTON DC
121	DTG
	232252Z APR 97
	FROM
	FM (b)(3):10 USC 424
	CONTROLS
	SECRET SECTION 01 OF 02 (b)(3):10 USC 424
	SECRET SERIAL: (U) $IIR^{(b)(3):10 \text{ USC } 424}$
	SENIAL. (U) III
	/*********** THIS IS A COMBINED MESSAGE ********/
	BODY
	PASS: (U) (b)(3):10 USC 424,(b)(3):50 USC 3024(i)
	COUNTRY: (U) PERU (PE); JAPAN (JA).
(L)(2).10 HCC	//IPSP: (U) (b)(3):10 USC 424
(b)(3):10 USC 424	SUBJ: IIF JAPANESE CONCERN OVER
and a second control of the second control o	((MONTESINOS))' PREFERENCE FOR A HOSTAGE RESCUE OPERATION
	(S) WARNING: (U) THIS IS AN INFORMATION REPORT, NOT FINALLY
	EVALUATED INTELLIGENCE. REPORT CLASSIFIED
	SECRET.
	- DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
	DOI: (U) 970418.
	BEOS.
	(b)(3):10 USC 424
	SOURCE: (U) (b)(3):10 USC 424,(b)(3):50 USC 3024(i)
	SUMMARY: (S) JAPANESE DEFENSE AND INTELLIGENCE REPS TO THE
	CRISIS RESPONSE CELL IN LIMA CONCERNED WITH POWER STRUGGLE
	BETWEEN ((MONTESINOS)) AND ((HERMOZA)) AND ITS IMPLICATIONS
	FOR INFLUENCING PRESIDENT ((FUJIMORI))'S CHOICE OF A SOLUTION TO THE HOSTAGE CRISIS.
	TEXT: 1. (C) (b)(1),1.4 (c)
Ĭ	(b)(1),1.4 (c)

SECRET

(b)(1),1.4 (c) 2. (S) POSING MOST OF THE QUESTIONS DURING THE MEETING, (b)(1),1.4 (c) STARTED THE MEETING BY ASKING WHAT THOUGHT (b)(1),1.4 ABOUT THE TIMING AND REASON FOR THE RECENTLY PUBLICIZED (c) INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED TO THE KILLING OF ONE PERUVIAN ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER. (b)(1),1.4 (c) DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE INFORMATION OFFERED BY HE ASKED FOR OPINION ON THE (b)(1),1.4 (c) TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE AND WHETHER THE NEGATIVE
$(b)(1),1.4 \ (c) \qquad \text{STARTED THE MEETING BY ASKING} \qquad \text{WHAT} \qquad \text{THOUGHT} \qquad (b)(1),1.4$ $\text{ABOUT THE TIMING AND REASON FOR THE RECENTLY PUBLICIZED} \qquad (c)$ $\text{INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED TO THE KILLING OF ONE PERUVIAN}$ $\text{ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER.}$ $(b)(1),1.4 \ (c)$ $\text{DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE}$ (b)(1),1.4 \ (c) $\text{INFORMATION OFFERED BY} \qquad \text{HE ASKED FOR} \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \qquad (b)(1),1.4 \ (c)$
$(b)(1),1.4 \ (c) \qquad \text{STARTED THE MEETING BY ASKING} \qquad \text{WHAT} \qquad \text{THOUGHT} \qquad (b)(1),1.4$ $\text{ABOUT THE TIMING AND REASON FOR THE RECENTLY PUBLICIZED} \qquad (c)$ $\text{INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED TO THE KILLING OF ONE PERUVIAN}$ $\text{ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER.}$ $(b)(1),1.4 \ (c)$ $\text{DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE}$ (b)(1),1.4 \ (c) $\text{INFORMATION OFFERED BY} \qquad \text{HE ASKED FOR} \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \qquad (b)(1),1.4 \ (c)$
ABOUT THE TIMING AND REASON FOR THE RECENTLY PUBLICIZED INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED TO THE KILLING OF ONE PERUVIAN ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER. (b)(1),1.4 (c) DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE (b)(1),1.4 (c) INFORMATION OFFERED BY HE ASKED FOR OPINION ON THE (b)(1),1.4 (c)
INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED TO THE KILLING OF ONE PERUVIAN ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER. $(b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE} \\ (b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{INFORMATION OFFERED BY} \qquad \text{HE ASKED FOR} \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \\ (b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \qquad (b)(1),1.4~(c)$
ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENT AND THE TORTURE OF ANOTHER. $(b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{DID NOT APPEAR SATISFIED WITH OPEN SOURCE} \\ (b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{INFORMATION OFFERED BY} \qquad \text{HE ASKED FOR} \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \\ (b)(1),1.4~(c) \qquad \text{OPINION ON THE} \qquad (b)(1),1.4~(c)$
(b)(1),1.4 (c) INFORMATION OFFERED BY HE ASKED FOR OPINION ON THE (b)(1),1.4 (c)
THE STATE OF THE S
HIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE AND WHETHER THE NEGATIVE
INFORMATION WAS AIMED AT CRITICIZING PRESIDENT ALBERTO
((FUJIMORI)) AND VLADIMIRO ((MONTESINOS)). HE ASKED IF (b)(1),1.4 (c)
THOUGHT THERE WAS A STRUGGLE FOR POWER GOING ON IN THE ARMY
OR BETWEEN THE NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE (SIN) AND THE
ARMY'S LEADER, GEN NICOLAS DE BARI ((HERMOZA)) RIOS. (b)(1),1.4 (c)
REITERATED OPEN SOURCE INFORMATION.
3. (S) ANALYZING THE SITUATION FOR (b)(1),1.4 (c)
OPINED THAT BECAUSE FUJIMORI HAD NOT YET CONDEMNED
MONTESINOS FOR ANY TIES TO THE INTELLIGENCE SCANDAL RELATED
TO THE KILLING AND TORTURE OF THE AGENTS, HE MUST BE WILLING
TO PROTECT MONTESINOS IN ORDER TO GAIN POWER OVER HIM.
(b)(1),1.4 (c) STATED THAT HE EXPECTED MONTESINOS WOULD
APPRECIATE THE PRESIDENT'S PROTECTION OR SHIELDING FROM THE
NEGATIVE PRESS AND BE IN HIS DEBT.
4. (S) IN THE NEXT STEP OF QUESTIONING, (b)(1),1.4 (c) ASKED
(b)(1),1.4 THE THOUGHT THAT MONTESINOS WAS TRYING TO GAIN
(b)(1),1.4 (c) INFLUENCE OVER THE MILITARY. SAID THAT ALL HE KNEW ABOUT
MONTESINOS WAS WHAT THE PRESS REPORTED. (b)(1),1.4 (c) ARGUED
THAT MONTESINOS IS TRYING TO EXPAND HIS POWER BASE IN THE
MILITARY IN OPPOSITION TO HERMOZA'S. AS EXAMPLES, (b)(1),1.4 (c)
SAID THAT TWO OF THE THREE COMMANDING GENERALS OF THE SERVICES, ADM ((IBARCENA)) FROM THE NAVY AND GEN ((BELLO))
OF THE AIR FORCE, ARE INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS LOYAL TO
(b)(1),1.4 MONTESINOS. WHEN ASKED IF HE THOUGHT THAT
(c) MONTESINOS WANTED TO GAIN POWER OVER THE ARMED FORCES,
(b)(1),1.4 (c) ANSWERED THAT THE PRESENCE OF INTELLIGENCE
OFFICERS IN KEY POSITIONS SERVED AS IMPORTANT INDICATORS.
(b)(1),1.4 5. (S) ASKED WHY HE WAS SO CONCERNED WITH THE
(c) MACHINATIONS FOR POWER BETWEEN THE HEAD OF THE ARMED FORCES
AND THE SIN. $(b)(1),1.4(c)$ FIRST EXPLAINED THAT HE WAS
INTERESTED IN KNOWING IF HERMOZA HAD CONTROL OVER HIS
GENERALS AND ESPECIALLY OVER THE INTELLIGENCE DIRECTORATE,

SECRET

DINTE. (b)(1),1.4 (c) CONTINUED SAYING THAT HIS CONCERN OVER TAPPARENT POWER STRUGGLE BETWEEN HERMOZA AND MONTESINO CONNECTED TO THE DEGREE OF INFLUENCE THAT EACH EXERTED OF FUJIMORI. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHOSE ADVICE FUJIMORI WOULD FOLLOW IF IT CAME DOWN TO CHOOSING BETWEEN THAT FROM THE DE FACTO CHIEF AND THAT FROM THE COMMANDING GENERAL OF THAT ARMED FORCES.	S WAS VER SIN		
6. (S) THE WHOLE LINE OF QUESTIONING BOILED DOWN TO WHETHER FUJIMORI WOULD PREFER TO GO WITH MONTESINOS' ADVI	CE		
TO ATTEMPT A HOSTAGE RESCUE OPERATION USING FORCE OR PREI			
TO FOLLOW THE MILITARY'S ADVICE OF OPTING FOR A PEACEFUL			
SOLUTION. $(b)(1),1.4$ (c) CONCLUDED BY SAYING THAT IN HIS			
OPINION, IF HERMOZA EXERTS GREATER INFLUENCE OVER FUJIMORI,			
THEN THE PRESIDENT WILL REJECT THE PUSH BY MONTESINOS, WHO			
FAVORS A RESCUE OPERATION TO FREE THE REMAINING 72 HOSTAGI			
HELD IN THE JAPANESE AMBASSADOR'S RESIDENCE (JAR) IN LIMA.			
7. (S) (b)(1),1.4 (c) REMAINED QUIET THROUGHOUT MOST OF THE			
DISCUSSION ONLY ADDING COMMENTS WHEN PRODDED BY (b)(1),1.4 (c)	2)		
(b)(1),1.4 (c) FOR HIS OPINION ON THE PROBABILITY OF A	1		
RESCUE OPERATION BEING CONDUCTED. (b)(1),1.4 (c) SAID THAT	-		
THE COMMANDER OF THE POLICE COUNTERTERRORIST FORCE	E, (c)		
DUAT, SURROUNDING THE JAR, TOLD HIM THAT IF AN EMERGENCY			
OCCURRED IN THE JAR WHICH REQUIRED ACTION BE TAKEN, $(b)(1),1.4$			
WOULD FIRST SEEK PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL VIA HIS SUPERIORS TO	į		
GO INTO THE COMPOUND. ADDITIONALLY, (b)(1),1.4 (c) BAID THAT			
ANOTHER POLICE OFFICIAL HAD TOLD HIM THAT IF THE ORDER WERE			
GIVEN TO GO IN, THE DUAT FORCE WOULD ONLY ASSUME THE ROLE OF A CORDON FORCE, PERMITTING ANOTHER MILITARY ELEMENT TO)r		
CONDUCT THE ACTUAL ASSAULT. (b)(1),1.4 (c) -THE DUAT			
(DIVISION DE UNIDADES DE ACCIONES TACTICAS - DIVISION OF			
TACTICAL ACTION UNITS) IS THE PARENT ORGANIZATION OVER THE			
SUAT (SUB-UNIDAD DE ACCIONES TACTICAS - SUB-UNIT OF TACTICAL			
ACTIONS) AND OF THE UDEX (UNIDAD DE EXPLOSIVOS - EXPLOSIVES			
UNIT).			
COMMENTS: 1. (C) THIS IIR PROVIDES ADDITIONAL REPORTING			
ON THE ONGOING MRTA HOSTAGE SITUATION AT THE JAPANESE			
AMBASSADOR'S RESIDENCE			
(b)(1),(b)(3):10 USC 424,1.4 (c)			

(b)(1),1.4 (c)

Pg. 73 is denied in full

