Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

-Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Thanks so much We appreciate how busy you all are, and are happy to be fit into your schedule however is best for you. This is an ongoing analysis, and we do not have a specific date after which this would not be useful.

Best,

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 3:20 PM

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN-

We will pull together the author affiliations and work out how best to display networks. Can you let us know your timeline for this effort?

Thanks,

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:05 PM

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

(b)(1); (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); Sec. 1.4(c); Sec. 1.4(e); (b)(6)

Thank you for considering! Sounds like you've assembled a great resource for these queries.

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 12:18 PM (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

The next 3 pages are DIF citing (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) and are not provided.

Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:38 PM (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

-Classification: SECRET//NOFORN-

Thank you for the excellent comments! We strive for full nerd at all times, so this is most welcome. We will incorporate them into the next version.

(b)(1); (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); Sec. 1.4(c); Sec. 1.4(e); (b)(6)

The final 3 pages are DIF citing (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) and are not provided.