This is the 3rd page. The first 2 pages are DIF citing (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) and are not provided.

10

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Thanks so much, [   ] We appreciate how busy you all are, and are happy to be fit into your schedule however is best for you. This is an ongoing analysis, and we do not have a specific date after which this would not be useful.

Best,

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); (b)(6)

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 3:20 PM

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

We will pull together the author affiliations and work out how best to display networks. Can you let us know your timeline for this effort?

Thanks,

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:05 PM

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

(b)(1); (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); Sec. 1.4(c); Sec. 1.4(e); (b)(6)

Thank you for considering! Sounds like you've assembled a great resource for these queries.

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2020 12:18 PM

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

The next 3 pages are DIF citing (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) and are not provided.

Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 1:38 PM

(b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Review of Andersen paper

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Thank you for the excellent comments! We strive for full nerd at all times, so this is most welcome. We will incorporate them into the next version.

(b)(1); (b)(3):10 USC 424; (b)(3):50 USC 3024(i); Sec. 1.4(c); Sec. 1.4(e); (b)(6)

The final 3 pages are DIF citing (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) and are not provided.