

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DTG

201508Z OCT 95

FROM

FM (b)(3):10 USC 424

TO

TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC

CONTROLS

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ SECTION 01 OF 03

(b)(3):10 USC 424

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SERIAL: (U) IIR (b)(3):10 USC 424

/***** THIS IS A COMBINED MESSAGE *****/

BODY

COUNTRY: (U) **ARGENTINA (AR)**.

SUBJ: IIR (b)(3):10 USC 424 DISCONTENT AMONG MID LEVEL OFFICERS (U)

WARNING: (U) THIS IS AN INFORMATION REPORT, NOT FINALLY EVALUATED INTELLIGENCE. REPORT CLASSIFIED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

DO I: (U) 951005.

REQS: (U) (b)(3):10 USC 424

(b)(1);(b)(3):10 USC 424;1.4 (c)

TEXT: 1. (U) ON 5 OCT 95, THE ARGENTINE ARMY CHIEF OF STAFF, GENERAL **MARTIN ANTONIO ((BALZA))** PRESENTED A SPEECH ON "LEADERSHIP IN THE ARGENTINE ARMY" TO A LEADERSHIP SEMINAR BEING CONDUCTED AT THE COMMAND AND GENERAL STAFF COLLEGE OR ESG ("ESCUELA SUPERIOR DE GUERRA"). DURING THE DISCUSSION, GENERAL BALZA BEGAN OUTLINING HIS (AND PRESUMABLY THE ARGENTINE ARMY'S) VIEWS ON MORAL VERSUS IMMORAL ORDERS. HE USED THE EXAMPLE OF SPAIN, WHERE HE INDICATED THAT SPANISH

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SOLDIERS WERE PROHIBITED BY REGULATION FROM OBEYING THE IMMORAL ORDERS OF A SUPERIOR.

2. (U) GENERAL BALZA THEN WENT ON TO INDICATE THAT ALTHOUGH THE ARGENTINE ARMY DID NOT HAVE REGULATIONS PROHIBITING SUBORDINATES FROM OBEYING IMMORAL ORDERS PER SE, THE ARMY DID HAVE A DE FACTO PROHIBITION. GENERAL BALZA MADE NO ATTEMPT TO DEFINE AN IMMORAL ORDER NOR DID HE ACCEPT QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE ISSUE. HE ALSO MADE NO DISTINCTION BETWEEN IMMORAL VERSUS ILLEGAL ORDERS.

(b)(3):10 USC 424

(b)(1);1.4 (c)

Page 26 of 76

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(1);(b)(3);10 USC 424;1.4 (c)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 27 of 76

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(1);(b)(3);10 USC 424;1.4 (c)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 28 of 76

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(1);(b)(3);10 USC 424;1.4 (c)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act