

(b)(3)

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, July 19, 2004 7:37 AM (b)(3)
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

I don't know about the Army fighting for an expanded role, at least in Afghanistan, but I do know that CENTCOM never requested additional [redacted] Strategic interrogation and debriefing was, and still is, generally conducted at GTMO. [redacted] (b)(1)
[redacted] Had CENTCOM requested more [redacted] we certainly would have provided them. I know of no RFF that was denied from CENTCOM. I can't speak for OIF.

[redacted] (b)(1)

I recommend that future doctrine establish the JIDC as an umbrella interrogation/debriefing HQ which have separate Joint Interrogation Facilities [redacted] and Joint Debriefing Facilities [redacted] subordinate to it. [redacted]

Comments appreciated.

[redacted] (b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 5:15 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

7/19/2004

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

(b)(1)

- I find the atch'd really interesting -- [Redacted]
[Redacted] and that they fought for as large a piece of the pie as possible?

- The admiral is correct in stating the original intent in the consolidation of DoD HUMINT was that [Redacted] would be responsible for interrogation at major EPW detention areas. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

[Redacted]

(b)(1)

- In any event, do any of you have any comments/observations you'd like to contribute to help us develop a response to the attached memo?

[Redacted]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: FW: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

From: [Redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 4:11:25 PM

[Redacted]

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues
Auto forwarded by a Rule

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NONE~~

TERMS: NONE

ALCON:

(b)(3)

At 0900 hours on 19 Jul, we will meet in [REDACTED] office to discuss the issues in the attached RFI and sort out responsibilities for the input. Suspense has been moved to COB 23 Jul. [REDACTED] would like a draft by SOB Thursday, 22 Jul.
Thanks

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: July 16, 2004 15:52

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

Attached is the subject memo for your viewing pleasure. [REDACTED] just spoke with [REDACTED] and said the due date is pretty tight for the info being requested, so we now have until next Fri to turn our response in.

(b)(6)

(b)(3)

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

-----Original Message-----

(b)(3)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:33 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

[REDACTED] is working a similar action for the DIA IG. Suspect the questions are similar.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

(b)(3)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2004 3:29 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RFI from ADM Church re Interrogator Issues
Importance: High

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET~~

CAVEATS: ~~NOFORN~~

TERMS: NONE

Gentlemen:

[REDACTED] received a faxed memo today from ADM Church re an RFI/statement of record on DHS's role in OIF/OEF, mainly focusing on interrogator issues. Response is due **20 July** to the ADM. I have copies of the memo, so who is best to take lead on this so I can give them a copy to work off of? I will give you all a copy as well. Thanks.

(b)(3)

(b)(2)

V/R,

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

7/19/2004